

Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe from Lepton Flavor Violation

Kyohei Mukaida,^{1,2,*} Kai Schmitz^{3,†} and Masaki Yamada^{4,5,‡}

¹Theory Center, IPNS, KEK, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan

²Graduate University for Advanced Studies, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan

³Theoretical Physics Department, CERN, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

⁴FRIS, Tohoku University, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8578, Japan

⁵Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8578, Japan



(Received 18 November 2021; accepted 31 May 2022; published 28 June 2022)

Charged-lepton flavor violation (CLFV) is a smoking-gun signature of physics beyond the standard model. The discovery of CLFV in upcoming experiments would indicate that CLFV processes must have been efficient in the early Universe at relatively low temperatures. In this Letter, we point out that such efficient CLFV interactions open up new ways of creating the baryon asymmetry of the Universe. First, we quote the two-loop corrections from charged-lepton Yukawa interactions to the chemical transport in the standard model plasma, which imply that nonzero lepton flavor asymmetries summing up to $B - L = 0$ are enough to generate the baryon asymmetry. Then, we describe two scenarios of what we call *leptoflavorgenesis*, where efficient CLFV processes are responsible for the generation of primordial lepton flavor asymmetries that are subsequently converted to a baryon asymmetry by weak sphaleron processes. Here, the conversion factor from lepton flavor asymmetry to baryon asymmetry is suppressed by charged-lepton Yukawa couplings squared, which provides a natural explanation for the smallness of the observed baryon-to-photon ratio.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.011803](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.011803)

Introduction.—The standard model (SM) of particle physics has been established observationally after the discovery of Higgs boson. Its *classical* action enjoys the accidental global symmetry $U(1)_{B+L} \times U(1)_{L_e-L_\mu} \times U(1)_{L_\mu-L_\tau} \times U(1)_{B-L}$, corresponding to the conservation of the baryon charge B and the flavored lepton charges $L_{e,\mu,\tau}$. *Quantum mechanically*, $U(1)_{B+L}$ is violated by the chiral anomaly [1]. Although suppressed in the vacuum, $B + L$ violation by means of weak sphaleron processes is efficient at temperatures $10^2 \text{ GeV} \lesssim T \lesssim 10^{12} \text{ GeV}$ [2–5]. Also, the discovery of neutrino oscillations revealed that the lepton flavor symmetries, $U(1)_{L_e-L_\mu} \times U(1)_{L_\mu-L_\tau}$, are violated [6–10], which further implies the violation of $U(1)_{B-L}$ if neutrinos are Majorana fermions [11]. However, such interactions are so feeble that they could be inefficient up to $T_{B-L} \sim 10^{13} \text{ GeV}$, depending on possible UV completions of the neutrino sector.

Charged-lepton flavor violation (CLFV) is currently attracting a lot of attention [12–27], since its discovery would undoubtedly imply physics beyond the SM, enabling us to probe new physics at extremely high energy

scales, such as $\mathcal{O}(10^8) \text{ GeV}$ by $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ [13] and $\mathcal{O}(10^9) \text{ GeV}$ by $\mu \rightarrow ea$, where a is a pseudo-Nambu–Goldstone boson like an axion or familon [22,28]. Anomalies and hints of lepton flavor universality violation in observables such as rare B meson decays [29–31] (see also Refs. [32,33]) and the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon $(g-2)_\mu$ [34–36] may also be linked to new CLFV interactions [37–40] (see, e.g., Refs. [41–48]). Furthermore, if CLFV should be observed in upcoming experiments, we would learn that CLFV interactions must have been efficient at relatively low temperatures in the early Universe. For instance, the discovery of $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ would imply that $U(1)_{L_e-L_\mu}$ violating interactions are efficient at $T \gtrsim 10^4 \text{ GeV}$ [49]. Above this temperature, lepton-flavor violating (LFV) interactions then enforce nontrivial relations among the lepton chemical potentials, e.g., $\mu_\mu - \mu_e = 0$, leading to a different chemical equilibrium at that temperature. This is in contrast to the discovery of neutrino oscillations, where T_{B-L} is not necessarily low but could rather be as high as 10^{13} GeV .

In this Letter, we point out that the discovery of CLFV processes would change the paradigm of baryogenesis. As history shows, the discovery of the violation of SM global symmetries has repeatedly opened up new baryogenesis mechanisms. For instance, the weak sphaleron dramatically changes the chemical transport before and after the electro-weak phase transition (EWPT), leading to two famous scenarios: baryogenesis at the EWPT [5] and baryogenesis

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

through leptogenesis ($B - L$ genesis) before the EWPT [50]. In a similar spirit, we will now present conceptually new possibilities for baryogenesis.

We first note that the charged-lepton Yukawa couplings are hierarchical. This implies that the rates for the conversion of the flavored $B/3 - L_f$ charges into the baryon charge at sphaleron decoupling are not universal for different flavors. We thus do not necessarily need a $B - L$ asymmetry; a lepton flavor asymmetry alone is enough to obtain a nonzero baryon asymmetry during the EWPT, though the conversion factor is suppressed by the charged-lepton Yukawa coupling squared [51–53]. Therefore, we only need to generate some lepton flavor asymmetry at a temperature higher than the electroweak scale—a process that we will refer to as *lepto-flavorgenesis* in the following.

We emphasize that lepto-flavorgenesis does not represent yet another variant of leptogenesis. In particular, it does not refer to flavor effects in leptogenesis [54–58] (see also Refs. [49,59] for the effect of CLFV in leptogenesis), where lepton flavor asymmetry plays an important role due to the flavor-dependent washout effects of right-handed neutrinos in the presence of a nonvanishing total $B - L$ asymmetry. Lepto-flavorgenesis is not related to right-handed neutrinos nor does it generate a $B - L$ asymmetry. Note also that lepto-flavorgenesis generates baryon asymmetry from $B - L = 0$ in the SM (and whole) sector, which is different from the Dirac leptogenesis [60] and cloistered baryogenesis [61], where they generate $B - L$ in the SM sector with the opposite $B - L$ in a sector that is decoupled from the electroweak sphaleron.

Lepto-flavorgenesis is roughly classified into two cases, i.e., (i) lepton flavor asymmetry generation at the decoupling of LFV interactions and (ii) lepton flavor asymmetry generation through other asymmetries before the decoupling of LFV interactions, analogous to the situation for electroweak baryogenesis and leptogenesis. Unfortunately, in the first option (i), it is difficult to directly generate a lepton flavor asymmetry by using LFV interactions that would be discovered in the near future. (See Ref. [62] as an example of this scenario.) This is because we need a source of large CP violation in order to generate enough asymmetry while the discovery of LFV does not necessarily provide such a CP -violating source. We shall therefore concentrate on the latter scenario (ii) throughout this Letter.

We propose two concrete lepto-flavorgenesis scenarios operative before the decoupling of LFV interactions: wash-in lepto-flavorgenesis and spontaneous lepto-flavorgenesis. We first need to generate some asymmetries that are then converted to the lepton flavor asymmetry through LFV interactions. As emphasized in Ref. [63], there exist approximately conserved charges in the SM for $T \gtrsim 10^5$ GeV, as the SM interactions become less efficient than the cosmic expansion at higher temperatures. Therefore, the production of such charges via UV physics

suffices, which we refer to as wash-in lepto-flavorgenesis in analogy to wash-in leptogenesis [64] (see also Refs. [65,66]). Another example of generating some asymmetries is to couple an axion-like particle (ALP) to a SM current that is not conserved (see, e.g., Ref. [63]). If the ALP has nonzero velocity, it acts as an effective chemical potential for the SM plasma, generically leading to lepton flavor asymmetries. We refer to this mechanism as spontaneous lepto-flavorgenesis.

Decoupling temperature of LFV.—Let us first estimate the decoupling temperatures of some LFV interactions. We are interested in the processes that are accessible by collider experiments or astrophysical observations in the near future, such as the one like $\ell_f \rightarrow \ell_{f'} X$, where ℓ_f is the f th generation charged lepton and X represents a neutral particle.

For example, $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ is induced by the following dimension-six operator (see, e.g., Refs. [13]):

$$\frac{2C_{\ell W}^{ff'}}{\Lambda^2} L_{L_f}^\dagger \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_{R_{f'}} W_{\mu\nu} \Phi + \frac{C_{\ell B}^{ff'}}{\Lambda^2} L_{L_f}^\dagger \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_{R_{f'}} B_{\mu\nu} \Phi + \text{H.c.}, \quad (1)$$

where $C_{\ell W}^{ff'}$ and $C_{\ell B}^{ff'}$ are dimensionless coefficients, Λ is the cutoff scale of the operator, L_f is the left-handed lepton doublet of the f th flavor, e_f is the right-handed lepton singlet of the f th flavor, $W_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$ are the $SU(2)_W$ and $U(1)_Y$ field strengths, and Φ is the Higgs doublet. After electroweak symmetry breaking, this operator induces

$$\frac{C_{\ell\gamma}^{ff'}}{\Lambda^2} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\ell}_f \sigma^{\mu\nu} P_R \ell_{f'} F_{\mu\nu} + \text{H.c.}, \quad (2)$$

where we define $C_{\ell\gamma}^{ff'} \equiv \cos\theta_W C_{\ell B}^{ff'} - \sin\theta_W C_{\ell W}^{ff'}$ with θ_W being the Weinberg angle and the field strength of $U(1)_{\text{EM}}$ is denoted by $F_{\mu\nu}$. One may easily see that Eq. (1) does not violate $U(1)_{B-L}$ but does violate $U(1)_{L_f-L_{f'}}$. This operator is constrained by current experiments; the tightest bound comes from the nonobservation of $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$: $\text{Br}(\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+\gamma) \leq 4.2 \times 10^{-13}$ [67]. This leads to $\Lambda/((C_{\ell\gamma}^{\mu e})^2 + (C_{\ell\gamma}^{e\mu})^2)^{1/4} \gtrsim 6.7 \times 10^7$ GeV [13].

The LFV interaction rate above the electroweak scale is

$$\gamma_{\ell W/B}^{ff'} \simeq \frac{336T^5}{\pi^5 \Lambda^4} (3|C_{\ell W}^{ff'}|^2 + |C_{\ell B}^{ff'}|^2). \quad (3)$$

Since the temperature dependence is stronger than that of the Hubble rate, $H \propto T^2$, the LFV interaction is in equilibrium at early times before it decouples at low temperatures. The decoupling temperature of $L_f - L_{f'}$ violation is defined by the temperature at $2\gamma_{\ell\gamma}^{ff'} = 4/7H$, where the factor of 2 comes from $f \leftrightarrow f'$, and the factor of

4/7 comes from the convention used in Ref. [63]. This is then estimated as

$$T_{\ell\gamma}^{\text{dec}} \simeq 3.1 \times 10^4 \text{ GeV} \left(\frac{\Lambda/\sqrt{C_{\ell\gamma}}}{10^8 \text{ GeV}} \right)^{4/3}, \quad (4)$$

where we assume $C_{\ell W}^{ff'} = 0$ with a universal coupling for $C_{\ell B}^{ff'} \simeq 1.1 C_{\ell\gamma}$. If this is higher than the mass of the integrated heavy field for the effective operator, the decoupling temperature should be calculated in the UV theory. The transport equation we will use should also be written in the UV model. In the near future, the MEG II experiment will reach a sensitivity of $\text{Br}(\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+\gamma) = 6 \times 10^{-14}$, which is going to probe $\Lambda/C_{\ell\gamma}^{1/2}$ up to $1.0 \times 10^8 \text{ GeV}$ [68,69] (see also Ref. [70]). Therefore, if $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ should be discovered in upcoming experiments, we will learn that LFV interactions are equilibrated at temperatures above $\mathcal{O}(10^4)$ GeV.

One may also consider a LFV interaction of the type $l_f \rightarrow l_{f'} a$ via an operator of the form

$$\frac{\partial_\mu a}{2f_a} (C_{La}^{ff'} L_{Ll}^\dagger \sigma^\mu L_{Ll'} + C_{Ra}^{ff'} e_{Rl}^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu e_{Rl'}), \quad (5)$$

where a is a pseudo-Nambu–Goldstone boson like an axion or familon, f_a is its decay constant, and $C_{La}^{ff'}$ and $C_{Ra}^{ff'}$ are dimensionless coefficients (see, e.g., Refs. [22,27]). The current and expected future bounds on the effective axion decay constant, $F_{V/Aa}^{ff'} \equiv 2f_a/\sqrt{|C_{Va}^{ff'}|^2 + |C_{Aa}^{ff'}|^2}$ with $C_{V/Aa}^{ff'} \equiv (C_{Ra}^{ff'} \mp C_{La}^{ff'})/2$, are about $4.8 \times 10^9 \text{ GeV}$ by Jodidio *et al.* [28,71] and $2.9 \times 10^{10} \text{ GeV}$ by MEGII-fwd [22] and Mu3e-online [72], respectively, though the precise value depends on the chirality of the interactions. The corresponding scattering rate of the flavor-changing process is given by

$$\gamma_{\ell La}^{ff'} \simeq \frac{24T^3}{\pi^4 f_a^2} (\alpha_Y + 6\alpha_2) |C_{La}^{ff'}|^2 \quad (6)$$

$$\gamma_{\ell Ra}^{ff'} \simeq \frac{24T^3}{\pi^4 f_a^2} (4\alpha_Y) |C_{Ra}^{ff'}|^2, \quad (7)$$

for the left- and right-handed leptons, respectively. Hence, if $\mu \rightarrow ea$ is discovered in near-future measurements, the corresponding LFV interaction is equilibrated above

$$T_{\ell La}^{\text{dec}} \simeq 7.2 \times 10^2 \text{ GeV} \left(\frac{F_a}{10^{10} \text{ GeV}} \right)^2 \quad (8)$$

where we take a universal coupling $C_{La}^{ff'} = 1$, $C_{Ra}^{ff'} = 0$ as an example and where the decoupling temperature in this case is defined by the temperature at $2\gamma_{\ell La}^{ff'} = H$.

In both examples, the decoupling temperature is higher than the electroweak scale. Therefore, the three flavored

$B - L$ charges, i.e., $\Delta_f \equiv B/3 - L_f$, become conserved by the time of the electroweak crossover.

Baryon charge transport during the EWPT.—In the SM, the EWPT proceeds as a crossover [73], where the weak sphaleron process decouples at $T_{\text{Sp}} \approx 135 \text{ GeV}$ [74,75] with the neutral component of Higgs field value being $x_{\text{Sp}} \equiv \langle \phi \rangle / T|_{\text{Sp}} \approx 1.2$ [76,77].

During the EWPT, we have in total three conserved charges: $\Delta_e = B/3 - L_e$, $\Delta_\mu = B/3 - L_\mu$, and $\Delta_\tau = B/3 - L_\tau$. In the literature, it is widely studied how $B - L \neq 0$ is converted to $B \neq 0$ by weak sphalerons. Here, we consider another possibility, assuming that there is nonzero Δ_f ($f = e, \mu, \tau$) but zero $B - L = \sum_f \Delta_f$. In fact, as shown in Refs. [51–53], the hierarchies in the charged-lepton Yukawa couplings lead to different conversion factors for each flavor. As a result, the baryon asymmetry after sphaleron decoupling is given by

$$Y_B \simeq \frac{3A(x_{\text{Sp}})}{13\pi^2} \sum_{f=e,\mu,\tau} y_f^2 Y_{\Delta_f}, \quad (9)$$

for vanishing total $B - L$, where

$$A(x) \equiv \frac{13(1034 + 2473x^2 + 792x^4)}{48(869 + 333x^2)}, \quad A(x_{\text{Sp}}) \simeq 1.3. \quad (10)$$

We thus only need to generate some lepton flavor asymmetry before the EWPT. The conversion factor is suppressed by the charged-lepton Yukawa coupling: $3A(x_{\text{Sp}})y_\mu^2/(13\pi^2) \simeq 1.1 \times 10^{-8}$ for Δ_μ and $3A(x_{\text{Sp}})y_\tau^2/(13\pi^2) \simeq 3.0 \times 10^{-6}$ for Δ_τ . As the observed baryon asymmetry is $Y_B \simeq 9 \times 10^{-11}$, successful leptoflavorgenesis requires $Y_{\Delta_\mu} \simeq 8 \times 10^{-3}$ or $Y_{\Delta_\tau} \simeq 3 \times 10^{-5}$.

Transport equations with LFV interactions.—We can greatly simplify the transport equations around the LFV decoupling temperature, $T_{\ell\gamma/a}^{\text{dec}} \sim 10^{4\cdots 5} \text{ GeV}$, assuming that all other interactions except for the electron Yukawa and LFV interactions are equilibrated. The transport equations for the right-handed electron charge density q_e and Δ_f are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q}_e + 3Hq_e = & -\frac{1}{T}\gamma_e(\mu_e - \mu_{\ell_e} + \mu_\phi + \mu_{\text{bias}}^e) \\ & - \sum_{f'} \frac{1}{T}\gamma_{\ell W/B}^{f'e}(\mu_e - \mu_{\ell_{f'}} + \mu_\phi + \mu_{\text{bias}}^{\ell W/B, f'e}) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q}_{\Delta_f} + 3Hq_{\Delta_f} = & -\sum_{f'} \frac{1}{T}\gamma_{\ell W/B}^{ff'}(\mu_{f'} - \mu_{\ell_{f'}} + \mu_\phi + \mu_{\text{bias}}^{\ell W/B, ff'}) \\ & - \sum_{f'} \frac{1}{T}\gamma_{\ell W/B}^{f'f}(-\mu_{f'} + \mu_{\ell_{f'}} - \mu_\phi - \mu_{\text{bias}}^{\ell W/B, f'f}), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

for the LFV interaction in Eq. (1), where μ_i is the chemical potential of species i . The bias factors μ_{bias}^I are only relevant for spontaneous leptoflavorgenesis (see below), where the index I represents interactions. The electron Yukawa interaction rate γ_e is given by $\gamma_e/H \simeq 4/7T_{y_e}^{\text{dec}}/T$, where $T_{y_e}^{\text{dec}} \simeq 1.1 \times 10^5$ GeV is its decoupling temperature [63,78,79]. We can rewrite μ_{ℓ_f} , $\mu_{f'}$, and μ_ϕ in terms of μ_e and μ_{Δ_f} by integrating out the spectator processes, as explained in the Supplemental Material [80] (see also Refs. [63,64]). The resulting transport equations are symmetric under $\mu \leftrightarrow \tau$. Together with $B - L$ conservation, we obtain $q_{\Delta_\mu} = q_{\Delta_\tau} = -(1/2)q_{\Delta_e}$, if the bias factors (see below) and initial charges are also symmetric. The relevant transport equations are therefore reduced to

$$\dot{q}_e + 3Hq_e = -\frac{1}{T}\gamma_e \left(\frac{711}{481}\mu_e - \frac{474}{481}\mu_{\Delta_\tau} - \sum_I b_e^I \mu_{\text{bias}}^I \right), \quad (13)$$

$$\dot{q}_{\Delta_\tau} + 3Hq_{\Delta_\tau} = -\frac{1}{T}\gamma_{\ell B} \left(-\frac{237}{481}\mu_e + \frac{639}{481}\mu_{\Delta_\tau} - \sum_I b_{\Delta_\tau}^I \mu_{\text{bias}}^I \right), \quad (14)$$

where we assume $C_{\ell W}^{ff'} = 0$ and a universal coupling $C_{\ell B}^{ff'} = C_{\ell B} \simeq 1.1C_{\ell\gamma}$ except for $f' = e$ for simplicity. Here we assume that the right-handed electric charge is not washed out by the CLFV process by taking $C_{\ell B}^{fe} = 0$, which is required for wash-in leptoflavorgenesis to work. The explicit values of the coefficients b_e^I and $b_{\Delta_\tau}^I$ for the bias factors are given in the Supplemental Material [80]. For the case of the LFV interaction in Eq. (5), the transport equations are given by the same form with the replacement of $\gamma_{\ell B} \rightarrow \gamma_{\ell La}$ for $C_{La}^{ff'} = 1$, $C_{Ra}^{ff'} = 0$.

Wash-in leptoflavorgenesis.—Now we shall study the generation of flavored $B - L$ charges Δ_f via the transport equations. Let us first consider the case without bias factors ($\mu_{\text{bias}}^I = 0$), while the initial right-handed electron charge is nonzero ($\mu_C^{\text{ini}} \neq 0$ for $C = e$). In this case, the electron charge is converted to q_{Δ_f} as described by the above transport equations. The resulting q_{Δ_f} is conserved at $T \lesssim T_{\ell\gamma/a}^{\text{dec}}$. Taking into account Eq. (9), we obtain a non-zero baryon charge. We dub this scenario wash-in leptoflavorgenesis. The initial electron charge is expected to be generated by some other mechanism, such as the Affleck–Dine mechanism with a $B - L = 0$ (e.g., $u_R u_R d_R e_R$) flat direction [81–83]. Another possibility is axion inflation with a strong Chern–Simons coupling [84–87]. We note that preexisting flavored $B - L$ charges, if any, are washed out by the LFV interactions at $T \gtrsim T_{\ell\gamma/a}^{\text{dec}}$, and the results below are independent of the initial Δ_f charges.




FIG. 1. $|\mu_{\Delta_\tau}/\mu_e^{\text{ini}}|$ for wash-in (blue solid line) and $|\mu_{\Delta_\tau}/\mu_{\text{bias}}|$ for spontaneous (red dashed line) leptoflavorgenesis as functions of $T_{\ell\gamma}^{\text{dec}}/T_{y_e}^{\text{dec}}$ for the LFV process $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ (top panel) and $T_{\ell La}^{\text{dec}}/T_{y_e}^{\text{dec}}$ for the LFV process $\mu \rightarrow ea$ (bottom panel). The dark shaded region is excluded by current experimental bounds, while the light shaded region corresponds to the future expected sensitivity.

If the LFV interaction is strong enough, the parenthesis on the right-hand side of Eq. (14) is forced to vanish. Here, note that the Yukawa (LFV) interactions enter (leave) equilibrium as the temperature drops. If the LFV process is decoupled while the electron Yukawa interaction is negligible, we obtain the result in the so-called strong wash-in regime, $\mu_{\Delta_\tau} = (79/213)\mu_e^{\text{ini}}$.

We numerically solve the transport equations with non-zero initial μ_e^{ini} and obtain q_{Δ_τ} for a given LFV coupling. The result is shown in Fig. 1, which represents $\mu_{\Delta_\tau}/\mu_e^{\text{ini}}$ as a function of the ratio between the decoupling temperatures of the LFV interaction and the electron Yukawa interaction, $T_{\ell\gamma}^{\text{dec}}/T_{y_e}^{\text{dec}}$, where $T_{y_e}^{\text{dec}} \simeq 1.1 \times 10^5$ GeV. The result is asymptotic to $\mu_{\Delta_\tau} = (79/213)\mu_e^{\text{ini}}$ in the limit of large $T_{\ell\gamma}^{\text{dec}}/T_{y_e}^{\text{dec}}$. The dark shaded region is excluded because of the constraint on the corresponding $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+\gamma$ process. Future LFV searches will probe decoupling temperatures in the range represented by the light shaded region. If LFV should soon be discovered, the conversion factor from μ_e^{ini} to μ_{Δ_τ} is of $\mathcal{O}(0.01)$.

Setting $C_{La}^{ff'} = 1$, $C_{Ra}^{ff'} = 0$, the result based on the operator in Eq. (5) is shown in the lower panel of Fig. 1. Although the form of transport equations is the same as the above case, the result is different because of the different temperature dependence of interaction rate $\gamma_{\ell La}$. The future experimental reach is shown by the light shaded region.

Spontaneous leptoflavorgenesis.—Finally, we consider another possibility, to generate Δ_f by a mechanism similar to spontaneous baryogenesis [88,89] (see also Refs. [63,90,91]). Now, we assume nonzero bias factors ($\mu_{\text{bias}}^I \neq 0$) and vanishing initial charges ($\mu_C^{\text{ini}} = 0$). For example, an axion coupling to an operator \mathcal{O}^I of the form $-(a/f)\mathcal{O}^I$ results in $\mu_{\text{bias}}^I = \dot{a}/f\delta_{IJ}$ [63,92,93], while an axion coupling to a current J_i of the form $(\dot{a}/f)J_i^0$ leads to $\mu_{\text{bias}}^I = (\dot{a}/f)(\mathbf{n}^I)_i$. We assume that μ_{bias}^I (\dot{a}) is nonzero until the LFV interactions are decoupled (see, e.g., Refs. [94,95]).

From Eqs. (13) and (14), we see that $\mu_{\Delta_e} \neq 0$ is generated via the LFV interaction if μ_{bias}^I is not perpendicular to $b_{\Delta_e}^I$ and $b_{\Delta_\tau}^I$. We dub this scenario spontaneous leptoflavorgenesis. As an example, we consider the case with $\sum_I b_e^I \mu_{\text{bias}}^I = -(1/2)\sum_I b_{\Delta_e}^I \mu_{\text{bias}}^I = \sum_I b_{\Delta_\tau}^I \mu_{\text{bias}}^I = \sum_I b_{\Delta_\tau}^I \mu_{\text{bias}}^I \equiv \mu_{\text{bias}}$. If the LFV interactions are much stronger than the electron Yukawa interaction and decouple at a temperature higher than $T_{y_e}^{\text{dec}}$, we obtain $\mu_{\Delta_\tau} = (481/639)\mu_{\text{bias}}$ for both Eq. (1) and Eq. (5). This corresponds to the limit of large $T_{\ell\gamma/a}^{\text{dec}}/T_{y_e}^{\text{dec}}$. On the other hand, if the LFV interactions decouple at a temperature lower than $T_{y_e}^{\text{dec}}$, we obtain $\mu_{\Delta_\tau} = (4/3)\mu_{\text{bias}}$ for both Eq. (1) and Eq. (5). This corresponds to the limit of small $T_{\ell\gamma/a}^{\text{dec}}/T_{y_e}^{\text{dec}}$. In the intermediate regime, we need to solve the transport equations numerically. The result is shown by the red dashed lines in Fig. 1. Contrary to wash-in leptoflavorgenesis, we can obtain $\mathcal{O}(0.1 - 1)$ conversion factors even for small $T_{\ell\gamma/a}^{\text{dec}}/T_{y_e}^{\text{dec}}$.

Finally, we comment on the necessary condition for the spontaneous leptoflavorgenesis. We require a violation of lepton-flavor universality somewhere, such as in bias factors, equilibrated SM interactions, or CLFV interactions. Otherwise, the lepton flavor asymmetry cannot be produced.

Discussion.—In this Letter, we proposed wash-in and spontaneous leptoflavorgenesis. In both cases, we need some UV physics to generate an asymmetry in the SM charges. Though we are agnostic about the details of UV physics, the SM-charge generation through a phase rotation of a complex scalar condensate, i.e., the Affleck–Dine mechanism, is an interesting possibility. There, we generically expect the production of a relatively large asymmetry, which is suitable for leptoflavorgenesis as the conversion factor is suppressed by the charged-lepton Yukawa coupling.

We showed that almost any primordial charge can be reprocessed into a lepton flavor asymmetry if LFV is efficient in the early Universe, which in the end can lead to the present baryon asymmetry. Although we focused on operators inducing $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $\mu \rightarrow ea$, other processes are also interesting, as they will be extensively searched for in the near future, such as $\mu N \rightarrow eN$ by DeeMe [96], COMET [97], Mu2e [98], and PRISM [99] and $\mu \rightarrow eee$ by Mu3e [100]. Our results do not qualitatively change in these cases.

The anomalies and hints of lepton universality violation, viz. muon $g - 2$ [34–36] and B meson decay [29–31], may be explained by an operator similar to the LFV interactions with the flavor indices replaced by the identical flavors. One may generally think that LFV processes are linked by these anomalies [37–40]. In fact, much effort has been invested in order to construct UV models that explain the anomalies without introducing large LFVs [41–48]. We note that our mechanism works (even more efficiently) for suppressed but nonzero LFV interactions, including these models.

Finally, we comment on possible observable effects of the remnant lepton flavor asymmetry, which may be as large as $10^{-(4 \dots 2)}$, depending on the scenario. The lepton flavor asymmetries remain until they are washed out by neutrino oscillations at a temperature of order 10 MeV [101,102]. In the literature, much attention has been paid to the observable effect of a total lepton asymmetry rather than lepton flavor asymmetries [103–105]. Recently, however, it has been pointed out that large flavor asymmetries may turn the QCD phase transition into a first-order phase transition [106], which could lead to an observable signal in gravitational waves [107]. This provides another unique prediction of leptoflavorgenesis in addition to LFV interactions.

K. M. was supported by MEXT Leading Initiative for Excellent Young Researchers, Grant No. JPMXS0320200430. M. Y. was supported by MEXT Leading Initiative for Excellent Young Researchers, and by JSPS KAKENHI Grants No. 20H0585, No. 20K22344, and No. 21K13910. This project has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under Grant Agreement No. 796961, “AxiBAU” (K. S.).

*kyohei.mukaida@kek.jp

†kai.schmitz@cern.ch

‡m.yamada@tohoku.ac.jp

- [1] G. ’t Hooft, Symmetry Breaking Through Bell-Jackiw Anomalies, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **37**, 8 (1976).
- [2] S. Dimopoulos and L. Susskind, On the baryon number of the universe, *Phys. Rev. D* **18**, 4500 (1978).
- [3] N. S. Manton, Topology in the Weinberg-Salam theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **28**, 2019 (1983).

- [4] F.R. Klinkhamer and N.S. Manton, A saddle point solution in the Weinberg-Salam theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **30**, 2212 (1984).
- [5] V.A. Kuzmin, V.A. Rubakov, and M.E. Shaposhnikov, On the anomalous electroweak baryon number nonconservation in the early universe, *Phys. Lett.* **155B**, 36 (1985).
- [6] B. Pontecorvo, Neutrino experiments and the problem of conservation of leptonic Charge, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **53**, 1717 (1967).
- [7] V.N. Gribov and B. Pontecorvo, Neutrino astronomy and lepton charge, *Phys. Lett.* **28B**, 493 (1969).
- [8] Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Evidence for Oscillation of Atmospheric Neutrinos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 1562 (1998).
- [9] SNO Collaboration, Measurement of the Rate of $\nu_e + d \rightarrow p + p + e^-$ Interactions Produced by ^8B Solar Neutrinos at the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 071301 (2001).
- [10] SNO Collaboration, Direct Evidence for Neutrino Flavor Transformation from Neutral Current Interactions in the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 011301 (2002).
- [11] S. Weinberg, Baryon and Lepton Nonconserving Processes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43**, 1566 (1979).
- [12] Y. Kuno and Y. Okada, Muon decay and physics beyond the standard model, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 151 (2001).
- [13] L. Calibbi and G. Signorelli, Charged lepton flavour violation: An experimental and theoretical introduction, *Riv. Nuovo Cimento* **41**, 71 (2018).
- [14] K.S. Babu, P.S.B. Dev, S. Jana, and A. Thapa, Unified framework for B -anomalies, muon $g-2$ and neutrino masses, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2021) 179.
- [15] D. Aloni, P. Asadi, Y. Nakai, M. Reece, and M. Suzuki, Spontaneous CP violation and horizontal symmetry in the MSSM: Toward lepton flavor naturalness, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2021) 031.
- [16] L. Calibbi, X. Marcano, and J. Roy, Z lepton flavour violation as a probe for new physics at future e^+e^- colliders, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 1054 (2021).
- [17] F. Björkeröth, E. J. Chun, and S. F. King, Flavourful axion phenomenology, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2018) 117.
- [18] M. Bauer, M. Neubert, S. Renner, M. Schnubel, and A. Thamm, Axionlike Particles, Lepton-Flavor Violation, and a New Explanation of a_μ and a_e , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 211803 (2020).
- [19] C. Cornella, P. Paradisi, and O. Sumensari, Hunting for ALPs with lepton flavor violation, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2020) 158.
- [20] M. Endo, S. Iguro, and T. Kitahara, Probing $e\mu$ flavor-violating ALP at Belle II, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2020) 040.
- [21] H. Ishida, S. Matsuzaki, and Y. Shigekami, New perspective in searching for axionlike particles from flavor physics, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 095022 (2021).
- [22] L. Calibbi, D. Redigolo, R. Ziegler, and J. Zupan, Looking forward to lepton-flavor-violating ALPs, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2021) 173.
- [23] P. Escribano and A. Vicente, Ultralight scalars in leptonic observables, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2021) 240.
- [24] L. Di Luzio, R. Gröber, and P. Paradisi, Hunting for the CP violating ALP, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 095027 (2021).
- [25] Q. Bonnefoy, P. Cox, E. Dudas, T. Gherghetta, and M. D. Nguyen, Flavoured warped axion, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2021) 084.
- [26] K. Ma, Polarization effects in lepton flavor violated decays induced by axion-like particles, [arXiv:2104.11162](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.11162).
- [27] M. Bauer, M. Neubert, S. Renner, M. Schnubel, and A. Thamm, Flavor probes of axion-like particles, [arXiv:2110.10698](https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.10698).
- [28] J.L. Feng, T. Moroi, H. Murayama, and E. Schnapka, Third generation familons, b factories, and neutrino cosmology, *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 5875 (1998).
- [29] LHCb Collaboration, Test of lepton universality with $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2017) 055.
- [30] LHCb Collaboration, Search for Lepton-Universality Violation in $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$ Decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 191801 (2019).
- [31] LHCb Collaboration, Test of lepton universality in beauty-quark decays, *Nat. Phys.* **18**, 277 (2022).
- [32] HFLAV Collaboration, Averages of b-hadron, c-hadron, and τ -lepton properties as of 2018, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 226 (2021).
- [33] W. Altmannshofer, P.S.B. Dev, A. Soni, and Y. Sui, Addressing $R_{D^{(*)}}$, $R_{K^{(*)}}$, muon $g-2$ and ANITA anomalies in a minimal R -parity violating supersymmetric framework, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 015031 (2020).
- [34] Muon $g-2$ Collaboration, Final report of the muon E821 anomalous magnetic moment measurement at BNL, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 072003 (2006).
- [35] F. Jegerlehner and A. Nyffeler, The muon $g-2$, *Phys. Rep.* **477**, 1 (2009).
- [36] Muon $g-2$ Collaboration, Measurement of the Positive Muon Anomalous Magnetic Moment to 0.46 ppm, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 141801 (2021).
- [37] J.L. Feng, K.T. Matchev, and Y. Shadmi, Theoretical expectations for the muon's electric dipole moment, *Nucl. Phys.* **B613**, 366 (2001).
- [38] L. Calibbi, A. Faccia, A. Masiero, and S.K. Vempati, Lepton flavour violation from SUSY-GUTs: Where do we stand for MEG, PRISM/PRIME and a super flavour factory, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 116002 (2006).
- [39] G.F. Giudice, P. Paradisi, and M. Passera, Testing new physics with the electron $g-2$, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2012) 113.
- [40] S.L. Glashow, D. Guadagnoli, and K. Lane, Lepton Flavor Violation in B Decays?, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 091801 (2015).
- [41] A. Greljo, P. Stangl, and A.E. Thomsen, A model of muon anomalies, *Phys. Lett. B* **820**, 136554 (2021).
- [42] L. Calibbi, M.L. López-Ibañez, A. Melis, and O. Vives, Implications of the Muon $g-2$ result on the flavour structure of the lepton mass matrix, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 929 (2021).
- [43] M. Ibe, S. Kobayashi, Y. Nakayama, and S. Shirai, Muon $g-2$ in gauge mediation without SUSY CP problem, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2021) 098.
- [44] T. Li, M.A. Schmidt, C.-Y. Yao, and M. Yuan, Charged lepton flavor violation in light of the muon magnetic moment anomaly and colliders, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 811 (2021).

- [45] A. J. Buras, A. Crivellin, F. Kirk, C. A. Manzari, and M. Montull, Global analysis of leptophilic Z' bosons, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2021) 068.
- [46] P. S. Bhupal Dev, A. Soni, and F. Xu, Hints of natural supersymmetry in flavor anomalies?, [arXiv:2106.15647](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.15647).
- [47] A. Greljo, Y. Soreq, P. Stangl, A. E. Thomsen, and J. Zupan, Muonic force behind flavor anomalies, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2022) 151.
- [48] W.-S. Hou and G. Kumar, Charged lepton flavor violation in light of Muon $g-2$, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 1132 (2021).
- [49] F. F. Deppisch, J. Harz, M. Hirsch, W.-C. Huang, and H. Päs, Falsifying high-scale baryogenesis with neutrinoless double beta decay and lepton flavor violation, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 036005 (2015).
- [50] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, Baryogenesis without grand unification, *Phys. Lett.* **174B**, 45 (1986).
- [51] V. A. Kuzmin, V. A. Rubakov, and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Anomalous electroweak baryon number nonconservation and GUT mechanism for baryogenesis, *Phys. Lett. B* **191**, 171 (1987).
- [52] S. Y. Khlebnikov and M. E. Shaposhnikov, The statistical theory of anomalous fermion number nonconservation, *Nucl. Phys.* **B308**, 885 (1988).
- [53] M. Laine and M. E. Shaposhnikov, A remark on sphaleron erasure of baryon asymmetry, *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 117302 (2000).
- [54] A. Pilaftsis and T. E. J. Underwood, Electroweak-scale resonant leptogenesis, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 113001 (2005).
- [55] A. Abada, S. Davidson, F.-X. Josse-Michaux, M. Losada, and A. Riotto, Flavor issues in leptogenesis, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **04** (2006) 004.
- [56] E. Nardi, Y. Nir, E. Roulet, and J. Racker, The importance of flavor in leptogenesis, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2006) 164.
- [57] A. Abada, S. Davidson, A. Ibarra, F. X. Josse-Michaux, M. Losada, and A. Riotto, Flavour matters in leptogenesis, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2006) 010.
- [58] P. S. B. Dev, P. Di Bari, B. Garbrecht, S. Lavignac, P. Millington, and D. Teresi, Flavor effects in leptogenesis, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **33**, 1842001 (2018).
- [59] A. Pilaftsis, Resonant Tau-Leptogenesis with Observable Lepton Number Violation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 081602 (2005).
- [60] K. Dick, M. Lindner, M. Ratz, and D. Wright, Leptogenesis with Dirac Neutrinos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 4039 (2000).
- [61] D. Aristizabal Sierra, C. S. Fong, E. Nardi, and E. Peinado, Cloistered baryogenesis, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **02** (2014) 013.
- [62] J. March-Russell, H. Murayama, and A. Riotto, The Small observed baryon asymmetry from a large lepton asymmetry, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (1999) 015.
- [63] V. Domcke, Y. Ema, K. Mukaida, and M. Yamada, Spontaneous baryogenesis from axions with generic couplings, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2020) 096.
- [64] V. Domcke, K. Kamada, K. Mukaida, K. Schmitz, and M. Yamada, Wash-In Leptogenesis, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 201802 (2021).
- [65] A. Antaramian, L. J. Hall, and A. Rasin, Hypercharge and the cosmological baryon asymmetry, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 3881 (1994).
- [66] C. S. Fong, Baryogenesis from symmetry principle, *Phys. Lett. B* **752**, 247 (2016).
- [67] MEG Collaboration, Search for the lepton flavour violating decay $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \gamma$ with the full dataset of the MEG experiment, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 434 (2016).
- [68] MEG II Collaboration, The design of the MEG II experiment, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, 380 (2018).
- [69] MEG II Collaboration, The search for $\mu^+ \rightarrow e + \gamma$ with 10–14 sensitivity: The upgrade of the MEG experiment, *Symmetry* **13**, 1591 (2021).
- [70] G. Cavoto, A. Papa, F. Renga, E. Ripicini, and C. Voena, The quest for $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$ and its experimental limiting factors at future high intensity muon beams, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, 37 (2018).
- [71] A. Jodidio, B. Balke, J. Carr, G. Gidal, K. A. Shinsky, H. M. Steiner, D. P. Stoker, M. Strovink, R. D. Tripp, B. Gobbi, and C. J. Oram, Search for right-handed currents in muon decay, *Phys. Rev. D* **34**, 1967 (1986).
- [72] A.-K. Perrevoort, Sensitivity studies on new physics in the Mu3e experiment and development of firmware for the front-end of the Mu3e pixel detector, Ph.D. thesis, University Heidelberg (main), 2018.
- [73] F. Csikor, Z. Fodor, and J. Heitger, Endpoint of the Hot Electroweak Phase Transition, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 21 (1999).
- [74] M. D'Onofrio, K. Rummukainen, and A. Tranberg, Sphaleron Rate in the Minimal Standard Model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 141602 (2014).
- [75] K. Kamada and A. J. Long, Evolution of the baryon asymmetry through the electroweak crossover in the presence of a helical magnetic field, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 123509 (2016).
- [76] M. D'Onofrio and K. Rummukainen, Standard model cross-over on the lattice, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 025003 (2016).
- [77] K. Kamada and A. J. Long, Baryogenesis from decaying magnetic helicity, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 063501 (2016).
- [78] B. Garbrecht and P. Schwaller, Spectator effects during leptogenesis in the strong washout regime, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **10** (2014) 012.
- [79] D. Bödeker and D. Schröder, Equilibration of right-handed electrons, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **05** (2019) 010.
- [80] See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.011803> for reduced transport equations after integrating out all SM spectator processes.
- [81] I. Affleck and M. Dine, A new mechanism for baryogenesis, *Nucl. Phys.* **B249**, 361 (1985).
- [82] M. Dine, L. Randall, and S. D. Thomas, Supersymmetry Breaking in the Early Universe, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 398 (1995).
- [83] M. Dine, L. Randall, and S. D. Thomas, Baryogenesis from flat directions of the supersymmetric standard model, *Nucl. Phys.* **B458**, 291 (1996).
- [84] P. Adshead and E. I. Sfakianakis, Fermion production during and after axion inflation, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **11** (2015) 021.

- [85] P. Adshead, L. Pearce, M. Peloso, M. A. Roberts, and L. Sorbo, Phenomenology of fermion production during axion inflation, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **06** (2018) 020.
- [86] V. Domcke and K. Mukaida, Gauge field and fermion production during axion inflation, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **11** (2018) 020.
- [87] V. Domcke, B. von Harling, E. Morgante, and K. Mukaida, Baryogenesis from axion inflation, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **10** (2019) 032.
- [88] A. G. Cohen and D. B. Kaplan, Thermodynamic generation of the baryon asymmetry, *Phys. Lett. B* **199**, 251 (1987).
- [89] A. G. Cohen and D. B. Kaplan, Spontaneous baryogenesis, *Nucl. Phys.* **B308**, 913 (1988).
- [90] T. Chiba, F. Takahashi, and M. Yamaguchi, Baryogenesis in a Flat Direction with Neither Baryon Nor Lepton Charge, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 011301 (2004).
- [91] F. Takahashi and M. Yamaguchi, Spontaneous baryogenesis in flat directions, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 083506 (2004).
- [92] R. T. Co, L. J. Hall, and K. Harigaya, Predictions for axion couplings from ALPogenesis, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2021) 172.
- [93] R. T. Co, N. Fernandez, A. Ghalsasi, L. J. Hall, and K. Harigaya, Lepto-axiogenesis, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2021) 017.
- [94] R. T. Co and K. Harigaya, Axionogenesis, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 111602 (2020).
- [95] R. T. Co, L. J. Hall, and K. Harigaya, Axion Kinetic Misalignment Mechanism, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 251802 (2020).
- [96] DeeMe Collaboration, DeeMe experiment to search for muon to electron conversion at J-PARC MLF, *Proc. Sci., NuFact2017* (2018) 109.
- [97] COMET Collaboration, COMET phase-I technical design report, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2020**, 033C01 (2020).
- [98] Mu2e Collaboration, Mu2e technical design report, [arXiv:1501.05241](https://arxiv.org/abs/1501.05241).
- [99] Y. Kuno, PRISM/PRIME, *Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl.* **149**, 376 (2005).
- [100] A. Blondel *et al.*, Research proposal for an experiment to search for the decay $\mu \rightarrow eee$, [arXiv:1301.6113](https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.6113).
- [101] Y. Y. Wong, Analytical treatment of neutrino asymmetry equilibration from flavor oscillations in the early universe, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 025015 (2002).
- [102] A. D. Dolgov, S. H. Hansen, S. Pastor, S. T. Petcov, G. G. Raffelt, and D. V. Semikoz, Cosmological bounds on neutrino degeneracy improved by flavor oscillations, *Nucl. Phys.* **B632**, 363 (2002).
- [103] I. M. Oldengott and D. J. Schwarz, Improved constraints on lepton asymmetry from the cosmic microwave background, *Europhys. Lett.* **119**, 29001 (2017).
- [104] M. M. Wygas, I. M. Oldengott, D. Bödeker, and D. J. Schwarz, Cosmic QCD Epoch at Nonvanishing Lepton Asymmetry, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 201302 (2018).
- [105] F. Hajkarim, J. Schaffner-Bielich, S. Wystub, and M. M. Wygas, Effects of the QCD equation of state and lepton asymmetry on primordial gravitational waves, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 103527 (2019).
- [106] F. Gao and I. M. Oldengott, Cosmology Meets Functional QCD: First-Order Cosmic QCD Transition Induced by Large Lepton Asymmetries, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 131301 (2022).
- [107] P. Lerambert-Potin and J. A. de Freitas Pacheco, Gravitational waves from the cosmological quark-hadron phase transition revisited, *Universe* **7**, 304 (2021).